

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/605,376 09/25/2003		William Vroman	PL020001	2375	
37621	7590 06/07/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
PATENTS	AND LICENSING LLC	AGWUMEZIE, CHARLES C			
	V. JUFFERNBRUCH NGTON BOURNE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	TON, IL 60010-9605		3621		
			DATE MAILED: 06/07/2000	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u>-</u>	Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/605,37	6	VROMAN ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Charlie C.	Agwumezie	3621				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
 A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 								
Status								
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 25 September 2	003.					
2a) □		$(x) \boxtimes (x) = (x) $ This action is n						
,		, —	vance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
· , <u> </u>	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10,19-28 and 30-38</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10,19-28 and 30-38</u> is/are rejected.							
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election re	equirement.					
Application Papers								
	•	Evominor						
•	The specification is objected to by the		Tablested to by the	Evaminar				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachmen								
· _	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	0.040	4) Interview Summary					
3) 🛛 Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P [*] r No(s)/Mail Date <u>01/23/04</u> , 09/25/03	•	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:)-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on March 20, 2006 has been entered.

Status Of Claims

2. Claims 11-18 and 29 are cancelled.

Claims 1-10, 19-28 and 30-38 are pending in this application per the request for continued Examination filed on March 20, 2006.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-10, 19-28 and 30-38 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 3. <u>Claims 1-10, 19-28, 30, 33 and 37,</u> are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ghahremani et al U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2005/0180429 A1 in view of Mazza et al U.S. Patent Application Publication 2004/0199760 A1.
- 4. As per <u>claim 1 and 19</u>, Ghahremani et al discloses a feature rights management system, comprising:
- a feature rights server having a repository for storing feature keys, the feature keys representing activation rights for features;
- a chassis comprising a plurality of cards slots and a common backplane bus for connecting cards among the slots to one another (fig. 1, 14, 17, 33, 34; 0049);
- a system manager card operatively disposed in a slot of a chassis, the system manager card comprising a feature rights management agent operatively coupled to the feature rights server to receive feature keys from the feature rights server, to store feature rights in a repository, and to identify available feature units provided (fig. 1, 3, 12, 14, 15, 16, 17, 33, 34; 0055; 0058; 0069; 0070; 0071); and
- a plurality of application cards operatively disposed in a plurality of slots of at least one chassis, each application card operatively coupled to the system manager card over the common backplane bus to request feature rights from the feature rights management agent, wherein the feature rights management agent allocates the feature

units among requesting plurality of application cards over the common backplane bus (fig. 1, 3, 12, 14, 15, 16, 17, 33, 34; 0055; 0071).

What Ghahremani et al does not explicitly teach is

a feature rights server having a repository for storing feature keys, the feature keys representing activation rights for features.

Mazza et al discloses a feature rights server having a repository for storing feature keys, the feature keys representing activation rights for features (see figs. 1, 2 and 3).

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at time of applicant's invention to modify the method of Ghahremani et al and incorporate a feature rights server having a repository for storing feature keys, the feature keys representing activation rights for features as taught by Mazza et al, because such method of will ensure the security of the system.

5. As per claim 2 and 20, Ghahremani et al failed to explicitly disclose a feature rights management system, wherein the feature rights management agents and the feature rights server transfer rights between the feature rights management agents and the server in the form of keys; and wherein the application cards and the feature rights management agent transfer rights between the application cards and the feature rights management agent in the form of permission.

Mazza et al discloses a feature rights management system, wherein the feature rights management agents and the feature rights server transfer rights between the

feature rights management agents and the server in the form of keys; and wherein the application cards and the feature rights management agent transfer rights between the application cards and the feature rights management agent in the form of permission

(see figs. 4 and 5; 0116).

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at time of applicant's invention to modify the method of Ghahremani et al and incorporate a feature rights management system, wherein the feature rights management agents and the feature rights server transfer rights between the feature rights management agents and the server in the form of keys; and wherein the application cards and the feature rights management agent transfer rights between the application cards and the feature rights management agent in the form of permission as taught by Mazza et al, because, if the client application is licensed and the database contains a record of a license, the response can allow the client application to be enabled, or re-enabled.

- 6. As per <u>claim 3 and 21</u>, Ghahremani et al further discloses a feature rights management system, wherein a connection between the feature rights management agents and the feature rights server is un-trusted (fig. 16); and wherein a connection between the sub-agents and the feature rights management agent is trusted (fig. 16).
- 7. As per <u>claim 4 and 22</u>, Ghahremani et al failed to explicitly disclose a feature rights management system, wherein the application card requests permissions for feature rights from the feature rights management agent upon provisioning.

Mazza et al further discloses a feature rights management system, wherein the application card requests permissions for feature rights from the feature rights management agent upon provisioning (0048).

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at time of applicant's invention to modify the method of Ghahremani et al and incorporate a feature rights management system, wherein the application card requests permissions for feature rights from the feature rights management agent upon provisioning as taught by Mazza et al because, the response sent by the licensing server does not allow the application to be enabled if the feature is not licensed.

- 8. As per <u>claim 5 and 23</u>, Ghahremani et al further discloses a feature rights management system, wherein the feature rights management agent comprises a memory for storing a number of unallocated feature units (0065; 0164; 0165); and wherein the feature rights management agent requests keys for features from the feature rights server when the number of unallocated feature units is deficient to meet the needs of a request for permissions by a application card (0165; 0020).
- 9. As per <u>claim 6 and 24</u>, Ghahremani et al further discloses a feature rights management system, wherein the application card releases a feature unit by sending a release message to the feature rights management agent; and wherein the feature rights management agent increases its number of available feature units in response to the release message (0164; 0165).

Application/Control Number: 10/605,376

Art Unit: 3621

10. As per <u>claim 7 and 25</u>, Ghahremani et al further discloses a feature rights management system, wherein the feature management agent releases feature keys from a feature rights management agent and moves feature rights keys to the feature rights server (0164; 0165).

Page 7

- 11. As per <u>claim 8 and 26</u>, Ghahremani et al further discloses a feature rights management system, wherein each feature key comprises a plurality of feature rights including a) feature units, b) a feature category, and c) a distribution node identifier (0098; 0154; 0155; 0178).
- 12. As per <u>claim 9 and 27</u>, Ghahremani et al further discloses a feature rights management system, wherein each feature unit designates how many instances of a feature category is permitted within a domain of a distribution node identified by the distribution node identifier (0178).
- 13. As per <u>claim 10</u>, Ghahremani further discloses a feature rights management system, wherein the feature keys are of at least two kinds of keys: network keys destined to the feature rights server and element keys destined for the feature rights management agent (fig. 10; 0083; 0084; 0103).

- 14. As per <u>claim 28</u>, Ghahremani further discloses a feature rights management apparatus, wherein the feature keys are of at least two kinds of keys: network keys destined to the feature rights server and element keys destined for the feature rights management agent, wherein, the distribution node identifier of an element key identifies a domain of an identified feature rights management agent, and wherein the distribution node identifier of a network key identifies a domain of an identified feature management server (fig. 10; 0083; 0084; 0103; 0124; 0125; 0126).
- 15. As per <u>claim 30, 33 and 37</u>, Ghahremani et al further discloses a feature rights management system, wherein the features comprise telecommunication features (fig. 1, 3, 12, 15, 17; 0084; 0086).
- 16. <u>Claims 31, 35, 32 and 36,</u> are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ghahremani et al U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2005/0180429 A1 and Mazza et al U.S. Patent Application Publication 2004/0199760 A1 as applied to claim 1 and 19 above, and further in view of Summers et al U.S. Patent No. 6,098,133.
- 17. As per <u>claim 31 and 35</u>, both Ghahremani et al and Mazza et al failed to explicitly disclose a feature rights management system, wherein the common backplane bus of the chassis is a trusted bus.

Application/Control Number: 10/605,376

Art Unit: 3621

Summers et al discloses a feature rights management system, wherein the common backplane bus of the chassis is a trusted bus (fig. 1, 2, 6 and 7)

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at time of applicant's invention to modify the method of Ghahremani et al and incorporate a feature rights management system, wherein the common backplane bus of the chassis is a trusted bus as taught by Summers et al in order to ensure adequate security and reliability because "...the switch preferably includes a redundant bus architecture for interconnecting the FMs and SCMs..." (Ghahremani, 0058).

18. As per <u>claim 32 and 36</u>, Ghahremani et al further discloses a feature rights management system, wherein the common backplane bus of the chassis connects the plurality of application cards to the system manager card (fig. 1) but failed to explicitly disclose over a trusted intra-card bus.

Summers et al discloses a trusted intra-card bus (fig. 1, 2, 6 and 7).

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at time of applicant's invention to modify the method of Ghahremani et al and incorporate a trusted intra-card bus as taught by Summers et al in order to ensure adequate security.

Over Ghahremani et al U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2005/0180429 A1 and Mazza et al U.S. Patent Application Publication 2004/0199760 A1 as applied to claim 1 and 19 above, and further in view of Salkini et al U.S. Patent No. 6,912,230.

Application/Control Number: 10/605,376 Page 10

Art Unit: 3621

20. As per claims 34, and 38, both Ghahremani et al and Coley et al failed to

explicitly disclose a feature rights management system, wherein the features comprise

prepaid billing.

Salkini et al discloses a feature rights management system, wherein the features

comprises prepaid billing (col. 10, lines 1-10).

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at time

of applicant's invention to modify the method of Ghahremani et al and incorporate a

feature rights management system, wherein the features comprises prepaid billing as

taught by Salkini et al in order to ensure that providers are paid for the services.

٠

Conclusion

Page 11

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles C. Agwumezie whose number is **(571) 272-6838**. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday – Friday 8:00 am – 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached on (571) 272 – 6712.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll free).

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington D.C. 20231

Or faxed to:

(571) 273-8300. [Official communications; including After Final communications labeled "Box AF"].

(571) 273-8300. [Informal/Draft communications, labeled "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT"].

Hand delivered responses should be brought to the United States Patent and Trademark Office Customer Service Window:

Randolph Building,

401 Dulany Street

Alexandria VA. 22314

PRIMARY THERE

Charlie Lion Agwumezie Patent Examiner Art Unit 3621 May 23, 2006